

Ranking Pool: WA FY23 EQIP CIC Wind Erosion

Program: EQIP

Pool Active Status:

States: WA (Admin)

**Template:** EQIP - Conservation Incentive Contract (CIC) Amended October 2022

**Template** Active

**Modified** Joyce Trevithick

By:

Last 12/12/202

Modified: 2

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop										

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Air quality emissions	0	35	100	
Soil quality limitations	0	30	100	
Wind and water erosion	0	35	100	

Air quality emissions					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	15	100		
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	85	100		

Soil quality limitations					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Organic matter depletion	0	15	100		
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	85	100		

Wind and water erosion				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Sheet and rill erosion	0		100	
Wind erosion	0	100	100	

12/15/2022 Page 1 of 5

### **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Site Assessment and Soil Testing for Contaminants Activity	207	Activities
Soil Health Testing	216	Activities
Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management	217	Activities
Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Assessment	218	Activities
Agricultural Energy Assessment	228	Activities
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	Conservation Practices
Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products	333	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	345	Conservation Practices
Emergency Animal Mortality Management	368	Conservation Practices
Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces	373	Conservation Practices
Dust Management for Pen Surfaces	375	Conservation Practices
Field Operations Emissions Reduction	376	Conservation Practices
Fishpond Management	399	Conservation Practices
Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control	400	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	Conservation Practices
Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application	450	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Forage Harvest Management	511	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment	548	Conservation Practices
Drainage Water Management	554	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste	591	Conservation Practices
Feed Management	592	Conservation Practices
Pest Management Conservation System	595	Conservation Practices

12/15/2022 Page 2 of 5

Ranking Pool F			
Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type	
Surface Roughening	609	Conservation Practices	
Salinity and Sodic Soil Management	610	Conservation Practices	
Waste Recycling	633	Conservation Practices	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	Conservation Practices	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices	
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices	
Soil Carbon Amendment	808	Interim Conservation Practices	
Annual Forages for Grazing Systems	810	Interim Conservation Practices	
On-Farm Recharge	817	Interim Conservation Practices	

#### **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Adjustment (A)	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

### **Display Group: WA FY23 EQIP CIC Wind Erosion HPA (Active)**

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

### **Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Location					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
Do the land units intersect with the WA CIC Wind Erosion High Priority	Washington State WInd Erosion HPA				
Area?	Otherwise				

### **Survey: Category Questions**

12/15/2022 Page 3 of 5

Section: Category						
Question	Answer Choices	Points				
Application in fav EV22 FOID CIC Wind Evenion LIDA	YES					
Application is for FY23 EQIP CIC Wind Erosion HPA	NO					

# **Survey: Program Questions**

Section: State Questions				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into	YES NO	40		
Protracts? Point value: 40 pts  On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as one of the Historically Underserved categories (Beginning Farmer, Socially	YES	50		
Disadvantaged, Limited Resource Producer, or Veteran Farmer) on the CPA-1200, AND has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	NO	0		
Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period	YES	5		
covered by the CRP-1R? (Only answer if you answered yes to question 2) (5 pts)	NO	0		
CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS601, CPS603	YES	20		
	NO	0		
Will this application result in the completion of at least one of the EQIP	YES	45		
, .	NO	0		
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, PCPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366,	YES	10		
CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value 10 pts	NO	0		
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or	YES	10		
FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	NO	0		
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS314, CPS315, CPS315, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS314, CPS315, CPS3	YES	20		
CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	NO	0		

12/15/2022 Page 4 of 5

## **Survey: Resource Questions**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
	Will any of the following Conservation Practices (328, 329, 340, or 345) be included in the applicants EQIP CIC contract? (50 pts)	50
Select all that apply:	Will the proposed practices increase organic matter accumulation, OR decrease wind erosion or emissions of particulate matter on the planned land unit(s)? (25 pts)	25
Зелест ал тлат арргу.	Will the selected practices decrease soil organism habitat loss or degradation? (25 pts)	25
	Will Conservation Practice Soil Health Testing (216) be included in the applicants EQIP CIC contract? (25 pts)	25
	N/A	0
Lies the marticle act over had a COD contract?	YES	0
Has the participant ever had a CSP contract?	NO	75

12/15/2022 Page 5 of 5